

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/735,202	12/09/2003	Tien-Shou Tsai		1806
7590 09/21/2005			EXAMINER	
	CHINE PARTS INI	FOX, JOHN C		
P.O. BOX 2-10 TAINAN CITY		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIWAN	,	•	3753	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Autieur Occurren	10/735,202	TSAI, TIEN-SHOU
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John Fox	3753
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	December 2003.	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to t  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b)  objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnet See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnet See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnet See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnet See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnet See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnet See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnet See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnet See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnet See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnet See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnet See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnet See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnet See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnet See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnet See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnet See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  I) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	_	s)/Mail Date informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

Art Unit: 3753

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wrasman in view of Quirk.

Wrasman shows a molded ball valve body with oring seats 20 and stem ring 38. Quirk shows a ball with two stem rings. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used such a plurality of rings to seal the stem of Wrasman, for redundancy for example.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-4912. The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flextime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on 571-272-4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,202 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Fox Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753